



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/587,139

04/05/2007

Don Channer

CUL-0023

4875

23413 7590 12/17/2008
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP
20 Church Street
22nd Floor
Hartford, CT 06103

EXAMINER

DOUGHERTY, SEAN PATRICK

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3736

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/17/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/587,139	Applicant(s) CHANNER ET AL.	
	Examiner SEAN P. DOUGHERTY	Art Unit 3736	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SEAN P. DOUGHERTY. (3) Dan Drexler (Applicant's Representative).

(2) Max Hindenburg (USPOTO). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 December 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: Model blood collection device.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-9, 11 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tripp et al. (US 6,186,960), Ryles (US 5,658,257).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and the Applicant's representative discusses the prior art of Tripp et al. and Ryles and respective interpretations of each. Applicant also provided a physical demonstration using a model of the blood collection needle. Applicant indicated that after-final amendments would be submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sean P. Dougherty/ Examiner, Art Unit 3736	/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736
--	--